The General Complex Envelope Solutions of Coupled Mode Optics with Quadratic or Cubic Nonlinearity

Graham D. Hesketh: G.Hesketh@soton.ac.uk

Optoelectronics Research Centre University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, Hampshire, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

arXiv:1512.03092v1 [nlin.PS] 9 Dec 2015

December 11, 2015

Abstract

The analytic general solutions for the complex field envelopes are derived using Weierstrass elliptic functions for two and three mode systems of differential equations coupled via quadratic χ_2 type nonlinearity as well as two mode systems coupled via cubic χ_3 type nonlinearity. For the first time, a compact form of the solutions is given involving simple ratios of Weierstrass sigma functions (or equivalently Jacobi theta functions). A Fourier series is also given. All possible launch states are considered. The models describe sum and difference frequency generation, polarization dynamics, parity-time dynamics and optical processing applications.

©2015 Optical Society of America. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic reproduction and distribution, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modifications of the content of this paper are prohibited. Published here: https://www.osapublishing.org/josab/abstract.cfm?uri=josab-32-12-2391

1 Introduction

Coupled mode nonlinear optical systems form a fundamental building block in optical processing functionality. Herein, we provide an extensive analytical study of both the coupled mode system for quadratic nonlinearity, found in materials such as periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystals and commonly referred to as χ_2 in optics, as well as the coupled mode system for cubic nonlinearity commonly referred to as χ_3 and typically found in silica waveguides such as optical fibers. The functionality enabled by the quadratic nonlinearity includes, but is not limited to, sum and difference frequency generation [1, 2], modulation format conversion [3], optical logic [4, 5], and all-optical switching [6, 7, 8, 9]. Respectively, the cubic nonlinear system in optics can describe nonlinear polarization mode dynamics; which include instabilities [10] and has enabled such functionality as the optical Kerr shutter [11, 12] and all-optical regeneration [13, 14], adjacent waveguides coupled via evanescent optical fields; which are utilized in all-optical switching and optical logic [15], and most recently, nonlocal non-Hermitian parity-time (PT) symmetric coupled mode systems; which may provide a test bed within optics for theoretical physics and possibly enable novel unique optical properties such as non-reciprocity between modes and power threshold behaviour (*see* [16] and references therein). Outside of optics, the coupled mode equations with cubic nonlinearity also describe Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) in atomic physics [17].

The equations describing light interactions in a dielectric with quadratic or cubic nonlinearity were first derived by Armstrong et. al. in 1962 [1]. Therein, the amplitudes of two or three mixing frequencies were solved for in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions. In a Hamiltonian analysis, bifurcations and instabilities were examined in the two mode quadratic nonlinear system in [18] and the phase of the fundamental mode was solved for as an elliptic integral of the third kind in [6] under the special condition that the second mode (or second harmonic) was zero at input. It was proposed therein that the intensity dependent refractive index could be used to induce phase shifts in a Mach-Zehnder waveguide to produce an all-optical switch. A similar technique was explored in a 3 mode case in [7] where in addition to optical switching, wavelength demultiplexing applications were identified. But it was in [8], that the 3 mode exact solutions for the amplitudes and phases of modes coupled under a quadratic nonlinearity were given in terms of elliptic functions and integrals, respectively, for general launch conditions. In that work, which again considered optical switching applications, the modes were considered to be different frequencies and different polarizations, although from a mathematical perspective that case is a rescaling of the 3 mode case in [1].

In the cubic nonlinear case, the amplitudes of modes in two adjacent waveguides coupled via their evanescent fields were solved for in terms of elliptic functions while investigating optical logic applications in [15], while in [19], elliptic integral expressions for the phases of the two modes were derived and analysed. The amplitudes of two polarization modes coupled via cubic nonlinearity in the circular polarization basis were solved for in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions in [10] while investigating polarization instabilities. In [20], special solutions in the form of Jacobi elliptic functions have also been found

in the continuous version of the PT model introduced in [16], although solutions to the discrete coupled mode counterpart have to our knowledge not yet been provided. In addition to these relevant works, there is also a notable amount of literature which studies both the quadratic and cubic models in both Hamiltonian and geometric formalisms (*see* e.g [21] and references therein).

Herein, we study the 2 and 3 mode system of equations coupled under quadratic nonlinearity and the 2 mode system coupled under cubic nonlinearity. Importantly however, our paper differs to the existing literature we have discussed in three main ways. It is known that the nonlinear differential equations describing power evolution in the optical models under consideration are typically solved by elliptic functions. However, as will be discussed, the differential equations involve cubic rather than quartic polynomials in the power evolution and this makes the Weierstrass elliptic function notation the natural choice as the power in each mode is then linear in the Weierstrass function rather than bi-linear or bi-quadratic in the Jacobi function [22]. Some researchers also consider Weierstrass elliptic notation to be more modern and it is thus useful to have the choice. Firstly then, we present the power evolution in the Weierstrass notation unlike any of the existing literature which favours the Jacobi notation. Secondly, and more importantly, we go on to obtain our main result, the general solution to the complex representation of the electric field envelope. These expressions have never been derived in any of the previous works. Our result is expressible in a surprisingly simple way as the ratio of two Weierstrass sigma functions [22] (or alternatively Jacobi theta functions) and this simplicity is in contrast to the somewhat more complicated separate expressions obtained in the literature for the power flow and (where it is obtained) the phase. Notably, the method used herein can incorporate arbitrary launch conditions. While for the general complex envelope this requires the inversion of the Weierstrass function, which can be done numerically, in the case of the power flow this inversion can be entirely mitigated if one implements addition identities of Weierstrass elliptic functions as is discussed. This may be preferred in physical problems if one wishes to better display parameter dependence. Thirdly and finally, we recognise that our form of the solution is closely related to a Kronecker double series [23] and this enables us to give a Fourier series form of the solution. This has also never been done before. It is envisioned that this form will prove particularly useful given how important Fourier analysis is in optics and it is important that these solutions are obtained for completeness as they themselves can often yield new approximations and insights. As a test, the analytic solutions are plotted against numerical solutions obtained with a standard Runge Kutta technique and found to be in exact agreement. All elliptic function theory used herein can be found in [22], although some important identities are reproduced in the appendices for convenience.

The layout of the paper is as follows. Firstly, in section 2 we tackle the quadratic case. From the literature we introduce the model of 3 modes coupled via quadratic nonlinearity. The general solution is then found in terms of sigma functions and an analytic example is presented against a numerical solution as a test. This system is then reduced to a degenerate special cases of two modes and another solved example is presented. In section 3 we tackle the cubic case. We introduce a generalized model of four independent modes coupled via cubic nonlinearity and the general solution is then found in terms of sigma functions. This system is then reduced to special cases of two modes, plus their complex conjugates, and models from the literature are considered as examples, including the Hermitian example of two polarization modes [12] and the non-Hermitian case of a parity-time symmetric system [16]. In section 4 we discuss possible wider applications of the solution method.

2 Quadratic Case

In this section we tackle the quadratic case. Let us consider the following 3 mode equations which are, up to a phase rotation and rescaling of the fields and length variable z, equivalent to those appearing in [1, 7, 8, 9, 34] (*see* A for how to transform from a conventional set of equations with material parameters in physical units to the normalised form in (1)):

$$\begin{aligned} A_1' &= iA_1 + iA_2^* A_3 \\ A_2' &= iA_2 + iA_1^* A_3 \\ A_3' &= iA_3 + i2A_1 A_2 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where A_j is a complex representation of the electric field envelope, the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the length variable z and the $*$ denotes complex conjugation. This system conserves the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= |A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 + |A_3|^2, \quad Q = |A_1|^2 - |A_2|^2 \\ K &= |A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|A_3|^2 + A_1 A_2 A_3^* + A_1^* A_2^* A_3 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

To solve the system in (1), we first construct the differential equation for $|A_1|^2 = A_1 A_1^*$ which is given by:

$$\left(|A_1|^2\right)' = -i\left(A_1 A_2 A_3^* - A_1^* A_2^* A_3\right) \tag{3}$$

Then, by squaring both sides of (3) and substituting in (2) we can eliminate the other modes and make the right hand side a polynomial in $|A_1|^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\left(|A_1|^2\right)'\right]^2 &= -\left(A_1A_2A_3^* - A_1^*A_2^*A_3\right)^2 \\ &= -\left(A_1A_2A_3^* + A_1^*A_2^*A_3\right)^2 + 4|A_1|^2|A_2|^2|A_3|^2 \\ &= -8\left(|A_1|^2\right)^3 - (1-12Q-4P)\left(|A_1|^2\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(2K - P + Q - 4QP - 4Q^2\right)\left(|A_1|^2\right) \\ &\quad - \left(K - \frac{1}{2}P + \frac{1}{2}Q\right)^2\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Differential equations like (4) are solved by elliptic functions. However, because the polynomial is cubic, in this instance the Weierstrass notation is the natural choice as the defining differential equation of the Weierstrass elliptic function also involves a cubic polynomial, while that of the Jacobi function is quartic. To make (4) resemble the Weierstrass elliptic differential equation more closely, let us define the following function and constants:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(z) &= p_1 - 2\left|A_1(z)\right|^2 \\ p_1 &= \frac{P}{3} - \frac{1}{12} + Q \\ p_2 &= \frac{P}{3} - \frac{1}{12} - Q \\ p_3 &= 2K - P + Q\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

then (4) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\psi'\right)^2 &= 4\psi^3 - g_2\psi - g_3 \\ g_2 &= 4\left({p_1}^2 + p_1p_2 + {p_2}^2\right) + 2p_3 - p_1 + p_2 \\ g_3 &= \left(p_1 - p_3\right)^2 - 4\left(p_1{p_2}^2 + {p_1}^2p_2\right) - p_1p_2\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and hence that ψ is a Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp$ formed with elliptic invariants g_2 and g_3 [22] such that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi(z) = \wp(z - z_0) \\ &\{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} : \wp(z_0) = \psi(0),\ \wp'(z_0) = -\psi'(0)\}\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\wp'$ is the derivative of the Weierstrass $\wp$ function. Let us also define the points $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\xi_1 : \wp(\xi_1) = p_1,\ \wp'(\xi_1) = ip_3\} \\ &\{\xi_2 : \wp(\xi_2) = p_2,\ \wp'(\xi_2) = i\left(p_3 - p_1 + p_2\right)\} \\ &\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\xi_3 : & \wp(\xi_3) = -p_1 - p_2 - 1/4 \\ & \wp'(\xi_3) = i\left(2p_1 + p_2 - p_3 + 1/4\right)\end{array}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and it can further be shown through addition identities that $\xi_3 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. The values of z_0 and ξ_j can be determined numerically by inverting $\wp$ in a mathematical software package such as Maple; $\wp'$ determines the sign, and these points are uniquely defined modulo lattice periods of the doubly periodic $\wp$ function (*see* (35)). It follows from (2)-(8) that the power evolution is given by:

$$|A_j|^2 = r_j\left[\wp(\xi_j) - \wp(z - z_0)\right] \tag{9}$$

where $r_1 = r_2 = 1/2$, $r_3 = -1$ and $j = 1 - 3$. While (9) is more compact, it is possible to avoid having to invert $\wp$ to find z_0. To do this, one should expand the $\wp(z - z_0)$ term using addition identities (*see* (38)) and it is then possible to express $\wp(\xi_j), \wp(z_0)$ and $\wp(z_0)'$ in terms of the initial conditions using (2)-(8) to obtain a more explicit way of seeing parameter dependence. But notably, having obtained (9) one can then construct the logarithmic derivatives of A_j in terms of $\wp, \wp'$. To do this it is convenient to initially express the logarithmic derivatives of A_j in terms of $|A_j|^2$ and its derivative $\left(|A_j|^2\right)'$ using (1), then one can use (8) and (9) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{A_j'}{A_j} &= \frac{i}{2}b_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{r_j\wp'(\xi_j) + \left(|A_j|^2\right)'}{|A_j|^2} \\ &= \frac{i}{2}b_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\wp'(\xi_j) - \wp'(z - z_0)}{\wp(\xi_j) - \wp(z - z_0)} \\ &= \frac{i}{2}b_j + \zeta(z - z_0 + \xi_j) - \zeta(z - z_0) - \zeta(\xi_j)\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $b_1 = b_2 = 1$ and $b_3 = 3$, ζ is the Weierstrass zeta function [22] and the ζ form follows from known function identities (*see* (39)). (10) is then easily integrated and expressed in terms of Weierstrass σ functions using [22]:

$$\int \frac{A_j'}{A_j} dz = \log A_j, \int \zeta(z) dz = \log \sigma(z) \tag{11}$$

to yield the first main result of this paper; the general complex envelope solutions to quadratic nonlinear systems in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(z) &= c_j \frac{\sigma(z - z_0 + \xi_j)}{\sigma(z - z_0)} e^{\rho_j z} \\ \rho_j &= \frac{i}{2} b_j - \zeta(\xi_j) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the c_j are the integration constants and can be fixed by the initial conditions. The σ functions are entire functions of the argument and have the properties $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\sigma(-z) = -\sigma(z)$. The σ functions are also expressible in terms of the Jacobi θ_1 functions (*see* (41)). It is also possible to obtain a Kronecker double series [23, 22] for (12) which we present in D. If (9) is expressed in terms of sigma functions using known function identities (*see* (40)) then one can easily define the conjugate field as follows:

$$A_j^* = \frac{|A_j|^2}{A_j} = \frac{r_j}{c_j \sigma(z_0)^2} \frac{\sigma(z - z_0 - \xi_j)}{\sigma(z - z_0)} e^{-\rho_j z} \tag{13}$$

and subsequently the phases ϕ_j can be found by taking logarithms of the following:

$$\exp\left[i 2 \phi_j(z)\right] = \frac{A_j}{A_j^*} = \frac{c_j^2 \sigma(z_0)^2}{r_j} \frac{\sigma(z - z_0 + \xi_j)}{\sigma(z - z_0 - \xi_j)} e^{2\rho_j z} \tag{14}$$

Although the solutions to the phase evolution have now been solved for in (14), it is worth noting that the phases themselves evolve according to the following differential equation:

$$\phi_j'(z) = -\frac{i\, r_j\, \wp'(\xi_j)}{2|A_j(z)|^2} + \frac{b_j}{2} \tag{15}$$

which can be derived by writing $A_j = |A_j| e^{i\phi_j}$ in (1) and using (2), (5) and (8). One remarkable property of (15) is that if $\wp'(\xi_j) = 0$; which holds if e.g $|A_j(0)|^2 = 0$, then phase change is independent of intensity change with length, rather phase change comes only from the constant b_j term, which in a physically scaled system could be a wavelength dispersive effect. This observation was noted and discussed in [34]. As a test, Fig. 1a plots the magnitude and Fig. 1b the phase of the analytic solution given in (12) for arbitrary launch conditions $A_1(0) = 1 + 0.5\,i, A_2(0) = 0.25 - 0.5\,i$ and $A_3(0) = 1 + 0.33\,i$ (dash yellow, dot-dash cyan, dot light green lines, respectively) together with the equivalent numeric solutions of (1) obtained using a numerical Runge Kutta method (red, dark blue and dark green solid lines, respectively). The Runge Kutta method itself was tested by confirming that the numerical solutions preserve the constants in (2). The x-axis has been expressed in units of the half-period of oscillation w_1 which can be obtained via a standard elliptic integral involving the invariants in (6) (*see* (35)). The analytic and numeric results are indistinguishable and together with more plots not presented, this supports the validity of the solutions. Physically, the fields A_1 and A_2 might for example be considered as different frequencies of light, f_1 and f_2, propagating in a quadratic nonlinear medium and A_3 as their sum frequency $f_3 = f_1 + f_2$. In such a scenario, and in accordance with the laws of quantum mechanics, a photon is annihilated from each of frequencies f_1 and f_2 whilst two are simultaneously created at f_3 (or vice versa) and hence the power flows of modes A_1 and A_2 are seen to run in parallel in Fig. 1a, but the power in A_3 increases as that in A_1 and A_2 decreases (or vice versa).

Let us now consider the important two mode case which can be viewed as a degeneracy of the three mode case if we set $A_2 = A_1$ such that (1) reduces to what are, up to a phase rotation and rescaling of the fields and length variable z, equivalent to those appearing in [1, 2, 4, 6]:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1' &= iA_1 - iA_1^* A_3 \\ A_3' &= iA_3 - i2A_1^2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we have left the second mode to be indexed by 3 to be consistent with the notation of (1)-(15). It follows that the conserved quantities in (2) reduce to:

$$\begin{aligned} P =& 2\left|A_1\right|^2 + \left|A_3\right|^2, \quad Q = 0 \\ K =& 2\left|A_1\right|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left|A_3\right|^2 + A_1^2 A_3^* + \left(A_1^*\right)^2 A_3 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and thus that $p_2 = p_1$ in (5)-(6) and hence that $\xi_3 = 2\xi_1 = 2\xi_2$. Together with these reductions, (12)-(14) once again give the general solutions only with $j = 1$ or $j = 3$. Of course it would be possible to rescale such that $A_1 \to A_1/\sqrt{2}$ in order to have



(a) (b)

Figure 1: (a) Power $|A|^2$ and (b) phase $\phi = \arg(A)$ of A_1 ((12): dash-yellow, Numeric: solid-red), A_2 ((12): dot-dash-cyan, Numeric: solid-dark blue) and A_3 ((12): dot-light green, Numeric: solid-dark green).

$P = |A_1|^2 + |A_3|^2$ representing total power conservation but this was avoided here in the interest of reusing notation from (1)-(15). As a test, Fig. 2a plots the magnitude and Fig. 2b the phase of the analytic solution given in (12) (dot-dash cyan and dot light green lines, respectively) for two modes with arbitrary launch conditions $A_1(0) = 1 - 0.5\,i$ and $A_3(0) = 1 + 0.33\,i$ together with the equivalent numeric solutions of (1) obtained using a numerical Runge Kutta method (dark green and dark blue solid lines, respectively). The results are indistinguishable and together with more plots not presented, this supports the validity of the solutions.



(a) (b)

Figure 2: (a) Power $|A|^2$ and (b) phase $\phi = \arg(A)$ of A_1 ((12): dash-dot-cyan, Numeric: solid-dark blue) and A_3 ((12): dot-light green, Numeric: solid-dark green).

3 Cubic Case

In this section we tackle the cubic case. Let us consider the following 4 mode equations coupled under a cubic nonlinearity:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1' &= iA_1 - \frac{i}{2}\left(A_1^2 + A_2^2\right) A_3 - iA_1A_2A_4 \\ A_2' &= -iA_2 - \frac{i}{2}\left(A_1^2 + A_2^2\right) A_4 - iA_1A_2A_3 \\ A_3' &= -iA_3 + \frac{i}{2}\left(A_3^2 + A_4^2\right) A_1 + iA_2A_3A_4 \\ A_4' &= iA_4 + \frac{i}{2}\left(A_3^2 + A_4^2\right) A_2 - iA_1A_3A_4 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where A_j is analogous to a complex representation of the electric field envelope, the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the length variable z and modes 3 and 4 are generalizations of what might conventionally be complex conjugates of modes

1 and 2, respectively. This somewhat abstract generalization to a four mode system enables the system to encompass both the Hermitian and PT two mode cases as special cases. This system conserves the following quantities, which are not to be confused with the definitions of similarly labelled quantities in section 2:

$$\begin{aligned} P =& A_1A_3 + A_2A_4 \\ K =& A_1A_3 - A_2A_4 - A_1A_2A_3A_4 - \frac{1}{4}\left(A_1^2 + A_2^2\right)\left(A_3^2 + A_4^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

To solve this system we will largely follow the procedure in section 2. Let us first define the following function and constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= p_1 - 2A_1(z)A_3(z) \\ p_1 &= \frac{P^2}{12} - \frac{K}{3} - \frac{4}{3} + P \\ p_2 &= \frac{P^2}{12} - \frac{K}{3} - \frac{4}{3} - P \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and it can then be shown from (18)-(20) that after some algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\psi'\right)^2 &= 4\psi^3 - g_2\psi - g_3 \\ g_2 &= 4\left({p_1}^2 + p_1p_2 + {p_2}^2\right) + 48p_1 + 48p_2 + 128 \\ g_3 &= -4\left(p_1 + p_2 + 4\right)\left(p_1p_2 - 4p_1 - 4p_2 - 16\right) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and hence that ψ is a Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp$ formed with elliptic invariants g_2 and g_3 [22] such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(z) = \wp(z - z_0) \\ &\{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} : \wp(z_0) = \psi(0),\, \wp'(z_0) = -\psi'(0)\} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\wp'$ is the derivative of the Weierstrass $\wp$ function. Let us also define the points $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\xi_1 : \wp(\xi_1) = p_1,\, \wp'(\xi_1) = i4\left(2p_1 + p_2 + 4\right)\} \\ &\{\xi_2 : \wp(\xi_2) = p_2,\, \wp'(\xi_2) = -i4\left(p_1 + 2p_2 + 4\right)\} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and it can also be shown that $\wp'\left(\xi_1 - \xi_2\right) = 0$ and hence $\xi_1 - \xi_2$ is equal to one of the half-periods w_j (modulo lattice periods) [22]. The values of z_0 and ξ_j can again be determined numerically by inverting $\wp$ in a mathematical software package such as Maple; $\wp'$ determines the sign, and these points are uniquely defined modulo lattice periods of the doubly periodic $\wp$ function (*see* (35)). Let us define $F_1 = F_3 = A_1A_3$ and $F_2 = F_4 = A_2A_4$ to be functions analogous to the power evolution in modes 1 and 2, respectively. It then follows from (19)-(23) that:

$$F_j(z) = (-1)^{j-1}\frac{1}{2}\left[\wp(\xi_j) - \wp(z - z_0)\right] \tag{24}$$

where $j = 1 - 4$. While (24) is more compact, it is again possible to avoid having to invert $\wp$ to find z_0 by following the same procedure in section 2. But notably, having obtained (24) one can then construct the logarithmic derivatives of A_j in terms of $\wp, \wp'$. To do this, it is convenient to initially express the logarithmic derivatives of A_j in terms of F_j and its derivative F_j' using (18), then one can use (23) and (24) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_j'}{A_j} &= \frac{i}{2}b_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\wp'(\xi_j) - F_j'}{F_j} \\ &= \frac{i}{2}b_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\wp'(\xi_j) - \wp'(z - z_0)}{\wp(\xi_j) - \wp(z - z_0)} \\ &= \frac{i}{2}b_j + \zeta(z - z_0 + \xi_j) - \zeta(z - z_0) - \zeta(\xi_j) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $b_1 = -\left(P/2 + 1\right)$, $b_2 = -\left(P/2 - 1\right)$, $b_3 = -b_1$, $b_4 = -b_2$, $\xi_3 = -\xi_1$, $\xi_4 = -\xi_2$, ζ is the Weierstrass zeta function [22] and the ζ form follows from known function identities (*see* (39)). (25) is then easily integrated and expressed in terms of Weierstrass σ functions using (11) to yield the second main result of this paper; the general complex envelope solutions to cubic nonlinear systems in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(z) &= c_j\frac{\sigma(z - z_0 + \xi_j)}{\sigma(z - z_0)}e^{\rho_j z} \\ \rho_j &= \frac{i}{2}b_j - \zeta(\xi_j) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$



(a) (b)

Figure 3: (a) Power $|E|^2$ and (b) phase $\phi = \arg(E)$ of E_1 ((26): dash yellow, Numeric: solid red) and E_2 ((26): dot cyan, Numeric: dark blue solid).

where the c_j are the integration constants and can be fixed by the initial conditions. The σ functions are also expressible in terms of the Jacobi θ_1 functions (*see* (41)) and again it is also possible to obtain a Kronecker double series [23, 22] for (26) which we present in D.

Let us now consider two special examples of (18) from the literature. Firstly a Hermitian case can be obtained by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\, E_1\, e^{-2iPz} \\ A_2 &= i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\, E_2\, e^{-2iPz} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where P is as specified in (19), and after imposing the additional constraints $A_3 = A_1^*$, $A_4 = A_2^*$, where the bar denotes complex conjugation, (18) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1' &= iE_1 + i\left(|E_1|^2 + \frac{2}{3}|E_2|^2\right) E_1 + \frac{i}{3}\, E_2^2\, E_1^* \\ E_2' &= -iE_2 + i\left(|E_2|^2 + \frac{2}{3}|E_1|^2\right) E_2 + \frac{i}{3}\, E_1^2\, E_2^* \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

This system conserves the total power $|E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2 = \frac{3}{2}P$. (28) is, up to a scaling of the fields and the length variable, equivalent to the easily recognisable system describing the nonlinear interaction between two modes via the Kerr nonlinearity; for example E_1 and E_2 might describe continuous wave propagation in the two linearly polarised, phase velocity mismatched components of the electric field of an optical fibre [12] (*see* A for how to transform from a conventional set of equations with material parameters in physical units to the normalised form in (28)). For the first time it is now evident that the general solution of this classic system is simply expressible via (26). To obtain the solution we simply substitute (26) into (27) and express the parameters in (19)-(23) in terms of $E_1(0)$ and $E_2(0)$ using (27). As a test, Fig. 3a plots the magnitude and Fig. 3b the phase of the analytic solution given in (26) for arbitrary launch conditions $E_1(0) = 1.22 + 0.2\,i$ and $E_2(0) = -0.82 - 1.22\,i$ (dash yellow and dot cyan lines, respectively) together with numeric solutions of (18) obtained using a numerical Runge Kutta method (red and dark blue solid lines, respectively). The x-axis has been expressed in units of the half-period of oscillation w_1 which can be obtained via a standard elliptic integral involving the invariants in (21) (*see* (35)). The results are indistinguishable and together with more plots not presented, this supports the validity of the solutions. Physically the periodic exchange of power between modes in Fig. 3a is indicative of the classic polarization rotation in nonlinear fibres and as there is no point along the length at which the power drops to zero in either mode, the polarization state is never linearly polarized in this example but rather it is always elliptically polarized.

The second case we will consider is the non-Hermitian PT case from [16] and can be obtained by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\,(A_1 + A_2) \\ X_2 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\,(A_1 - A_2) \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

together with the reductions $A_3 = -A_1^*$, $A_4 = A_2^*$, such that (18) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1' &= iX_2 + iX_1^2 X_2^* \\ X_2' &= iX_1 + iX_2^2 X_1^* \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

It is the minus sign in the relation between A_3 and A_1^* that makes this system fundamentally different from a Hermitian case. This system, which was recently introduced as a discrete PT symmetric nonlinear nonlocal system, does not conserve the total power but instead it conserves the constant $P = X_1 X_2^* + X_1^* X_2$. For more details of the physical realisation of this system and its properties the reader is referred to the original paper [16], but it suffices to say here that this is a special case of (18) and thus the general solution is given by (26) together with the transformation in (29). One notable difference between this system and the Hermitian case is that the solutions can either be periodic or explosive depending on whether $\Delta = \left(1 - |X_1(0)|^2\right)\left(1 - |X_2(0)|^2\right)$ is positive or negative, respectively [16]. For example, Fig. 4a plots the magnitude and Fig. 4b the phase of the analytic solution given in (26) (dash yellow and dot cyan lines, respectively) for two modes with arbitrary launch conditions $X_1(0) = -0.2 + 0.81\,i$ and $X_2(0) = -0.6 + 0.71\,i$ ($\Delta > 0$) together with numeric solutions of (18) obtained using a numerical Runge Kutta method (red and dark blue solid lines, respectively). This example can be seen to be periodic; note though, that the period is $4w_1$ rather than $2w_1$ and this is due to the product term between A_1 and A_2^* which appears when taking the magnitude of X_1 or X_2 in (29). In fact it can be shown that this term changes sign after a shift of $2w_1$. In contrast, Fig. 5a plots the magnitude and Fig. 5b the phase of the analytic solution given in (26) (dash yellow and dot cyan lines, respectively) for the launch conditions $X_1(0) = -0.2 + 2.5\,i$ and $X_2(0) = -0.61 + 2.12\,i$ ($\Delta < 0$) together with numeric solutions of (18) obtained using a numerical Runge Kutta method (red and dark blue solid lines, respectively). Here, the amplitude of X_1 can be seen to blow up on the approach to a pole while that of X_2 tends to zero. In all figures the analytic and numeric results are indistinguishable and together with more plots not presented, this again supports the validity of the solutions.



Figure 4: (a) Power $|X|^2$ and (b) phase $\phi = \arg(X)$ of X_1 ((26): dash yellow, Numeric: red solid) and X_2 ((26): dot cyan, Numeric: dark blue solid).



Figure 5: (a) Power $|X|^2$ and (b) phase $\phi = \arg(X)$ of X_1 ((12): dash yellow, Numeric: red solid) and X_2 ((12): dot cyan, Numeric: dark blue solid).

4 Wider Applications

In the quadratic case, the form of the solution presented herein may prove particularly useful when trying to extend the algebraic manipulations to solitonic like or continuous wave solutions of the relevant time varying equations with dispersion [24, 25]. The methods presented herein may also generalize to higher mode systems such as waveguide arrays with quadratic nonlinearity [26, 27, 28]. In the cubic case, the solution method may prove particularly useful when trying to extend the algebraic manipulations to spatial or temporal solitonic like solutions of the relevant partial differential equations with diffraction or dispersion [30, 29] and it may also generalize to higher mode systems such as three-wave mixing [36] and four-wave mixing [31, 32]. As an extension outside of optics, the solution methods may also be applicable to Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) models which combine quadratic and cubic nonlinearities [33].

5 Conclusions

In summary, the general complex envelope solutions to the coupled mode systems which describe many optical processing functions and involve quadratic χ_2 or cubic χ_3 type nonlinearity, were derived for the first time. The solutions are expressible as a ratio of two Weierstrass σ functions or as a Fourier series. Addition identities can mitigate the need to invert the elliptic functions in the amplitude solutions which will help display parameter dependence in physical problems. The analytic solutions were checked against numerical solutions obtained with a Runge Kutta method.

EPSRC grant EP/I01196X, The Photonics Hyperhighway. EPSRC Doctoral Prize.

The author would like to thank Periklis Petropoulos for a careful read of the manuscript.

A Rescaling Transformations

(31) is a textbook model of the complex electric field v_j at frequency f_j; with $f_3 = f_1 + f_2$, during continuous wave (stationary regime) sum- and difference-frequency generation in a quadratic nonlinear medium [35] :

$$\begin{aligned} v_1'(Z) &= i\gamma_1\, v_2^*\, v_3\, e^{-i\Delta k Z} \\ v_2'(Z) &= i\gamma_2\, v_1^*\, v_3\, e^{-i\Delta k Z} \\ v_3'(Z) &= i\gamma_3\, v_1\, v_2\, e^{i\Delta k Z} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $\Delta k = k_1 + k_2 - k_3$ is the wave vector mismatch and γ_j is a positive real frequency dependant nonlinear coefficient. The transformation:

$$v_j(Z) = \Delta k \sqrt{\frac{s_j\, \gamma_j}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3}}\, a_j(Z)\, e^{-i\Delta k Z} \tag{32}$$

where $s_1 = s_2 = 2$ and $s_3 = 1$, followed by the introduction of a new length variable $z = \Delta k Z$ and function $A_j(z) = a_j(Z)$ yields (1).

(33) is a textbook model of the two orthogonal linear polarization modes of an optical fibre u_j in the continuous wave (stationary regime) [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_x'(Z) &= i\gamma \left(|u_x|^2 + \frac{2}{3}|u_y|^2 \right) u_x + \frac{i\gamma}{3}\, u_y^2\, u_x^*\, e^{-2i\Delta\beta Z} \\ u_y'(Z) &= i\gamma \left(|u_y|^2 + \frac{2}{3}|u_x|^2 \right) u_y + \frac{i\gamma}{3}\, u_x^2\, u_y^*\, e^{2i\Delta\beta Z} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $\Delta\beta = \beta_{0x} - \beta_{0y}$ is the phase velocity mismatch and γ is the nonlinear coefficient. The transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(Z) &= \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\beta}{2\gamma}}\, a_1(Z)\, e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\Delta\beta Z} \\ u_y(Z) &= \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\beta}{2\gamma}}\, a_2(Z)\, e^{i\frac{1}{2}\Delta\beta Z} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

followed by the introduction of a new length variable $z = 2\Delta\beta Z$ and function $E_j(z) = a_j(Z)$ yields (28).

B Periods as elliptic integrals

The power evolution of the modes is periodic and, in the case of real roots $e_1 > e_2 > e_3$ of $4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3$, the real period of oscillation is given by $2w_1$ where:

$$w_1 = \int_{e_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3}} dx \tag{35}$$

while the second imaginary half period is defined by:

$$w_3 = -i \int_{-\infty}^{e_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3}} dx \tag{36}$$

Together these generate the lattice of the doubly-periodic $\wp$ function. In the cubic case in section 3, the roots themselves can be explicitly given by:

$$-\frac{4}{3} - \frac{P^2}{6} - \frac{2\,K}{3}, \quad \frac{P^2}{12} + \frac{K}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \pm \sqrt{2\,P^2 + 4\,K + 4} \tag{37}$$

C Elliptic function identities

$$\wp(x+y) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\wp(x)' - \wp(y)'}{\wp(x) - \wp(y)}\right)^2 - \wp(x) - \wp(y) \tag{38}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\wp(x)' - \wp(y)'}{\wp(x) - \wp(y)} = \zeta(x+y) - \zeta(x) - \zeta(y) \tag{39}$$

$$\wp(x) - \wp(y) = -\frac{\sigma(x+y)\sigma(x-y)}{\sigma(x)^2\sigma(y)^2} \tag{40}$$

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{2w_1}{\pi} \exp\left[\frac{\zeta(w_1)z^2}{2w_1}\right] \frac{\theta_1(\frac{\pi z}{2w_1}, q)}{\theta_1'(0,q)} \tag{41}$$
$$q = e^{i\pi\tau},\ \tau = w_3/w_1$$

D Kronecker Double Series

Variants of the following double series expression are attributed to Kronecker [23, 22]:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(x,y) =& \frac{\sigma(x+y)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(y)} e^{-xy\,\frac{\zeta(w_1)}{w_1}} \\ =& \frac{\pi}{2w_1}\left[\cot\left(\frac{\pi x}{2w_1}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{\pi y}{2w_1}\right)\right] \\ &+ \frac{2\pi}{w_1}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{w_1}\left[mx + ny\right]\right) e^{2i\pi\, m\, n\, \tau}\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

which converges absolutely provided that $|\mathbb{I}[x/(2\,w_1)]| < |\mathbb{I}[\tau]|$ and $|\mathbb{I}[y/(2\,w_1)]| < |\mathbb{I}[\tau]|$ where $|\mathbb{I}[\cdot]|$ denotes the magnitude of the imaginary part. (12) and (26) can be expressed as a Kronecker double series by noting that:

$$A_j(z) = c_j\,\kappa(z - z_0, \xi_j)\,\sigma(\xi_j)\,e^{(z-z_0)\,\xi_j\,\frac{\zeta(w_1)}{w_1}}\,e^{\rho_j\,z} \tag{43}$$

References

[1] J. A. Armstrong, N. Bloembergen, J. Ducuing, and P. S. Pershan, "Interactions between Light Waves in a Nonlinear Dielectric," Phys. Rev. **127**(6), 1918-1939, (1962).

[2] A. J. Goodman and W. A. Tisdale, "Enhancement of Second-Order Nonlinear-Optical Signals by Optical Stimulation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**(18), 183902(1-5), (2015).

[3] F. Da Ros, K. Dalgaard, Y. Fukuchi, J. Xu, M. Galili, and C. Peucheret, "Simultaneous QPSK-to-2×BPSK Wavelength and Modulation Format Conversion in PPLN," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. **26**(12), 1207-1210, (2014).

[4] Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, and X. Chen, "Polarization-based all-optical logic controlled-NOT, XOR, and XNOR gates employing electro-optic effect in periodically poled lithium niobate," Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**(16), 161117(1-3), (2011).

[5] H. Jiang, Y. Chen, G. Li, C. Zhu, and X. Chen, "Optical half-adder and half-subtracter employing the Pockels effect," Opt. Express **23**(8), 9784-9789, (2015).

[6] C. N. Ironside, J. S. Aitchinson, and J. M. Arnold, "An All-Optical Switch Employing the Cascaded Second-Order Nonlinear Effect," IEEE J. Quantum Electron **29**(10), 2650-2654, (1993).

[7] D. C. Hutchings, J. S. Aitchison, and C. N. Ironside, "All-optical switching based on nondegenerate phase shifts from a cascaded second-order nonlinearity," Opt. Lett. **18**(10), 793-795, (1993).

[8] A. Kobyakov and F. Lederer, "Cascading of quadratic nonlinearities: An analytical study," Phys. Rev. A **54**(4), 3455-3471, (1996).

[9] M. Asobe, I. Yokohama, H. Itoh, and T. Kaino, "All-optical switching by use of cascading of phase-matched sum-frequency-generation and difference-frequency-generation processes in periodically poled $LiNbO_3$," Opt. Lett. **22**(5), 274-276, (1997).

[10] H. G. Winful, "Polarization instabilities in birefringent nonlinear media: application to fiber-optic devices," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. **11**(1), 33-35 (1986).

[11] M. A. Duguay and J. W. Hansen, "AN ULTRAFAST LIGHT GATE," Appl. Phys. Lett. **15**(6), 192-194 (1969).

[12] G. P. Agrawal, *Nonlinear Fibre Optics*, (Academic Press, 2007).

[13] F. Parmigiani, G. Hesketh, R. Slavík, P. Horak, P. Petropoulos, and D. J. Richardson, "Optical Phase Quantizer Based on Phase Sensitive Four Wave Mixing at Low Nonlinear Phase Shifts," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. **26**(21), 2146-2149, (2014).

[14] F. Parmigiani, G. Hesketh, R. Slavík, P. Horak, P. Petropoulos, and D. J. Richardson, "Polarization-Assisted Phase-Sensitive Processor," IEEE J. Lightw. Technol **33**(6), 1166-1174, (2015).

[15] S. M. Jensen, "The Nonlinear Coherent Coupler," IEEE J. Quantum Electron **QE**(18), 1580-1583 (1982).

[16] A. K. Sarma, M. Miri, Z. H. Musslimani, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Continuous and discrete Schrodinger systems with parity-time-symmetric nonlinearities," Phys. Rev. E **89**(6), 052918(1-7) (2014).

[17] E. A. Ostrovskaya, Y. S. Kivshar, M. Lisak, B. Hall, F. Cattani, and D. Anderson, "Coupled-mode theory for Bose-Einstein condensates," Phys. Rev. A **61**, 031601(R) (2000).

[18] S. Trillo, S. Wabnitz, R. Chisari and G. Cappellini, "Two-wave mixing in a quadratic nonlinear medium: bifurcations, spatial instabilities, and chaos", Opt. Lett. **17**(9) 637-639 (1992)

[19] G. Peng and A. Ankiewicz, "Intensity-dependent phase shifts in nonlinear coupling devices," J. Mod. Opt., **37**(3), 353-365 (1990).

[20] A. Khare and A. Saxena, "Periodic and hyperbolic soliton solutions of a number of nonlocal nonlinear equations," J. Math. Phys. **56**(3), 032104(1-27) (2015).

[21] D. D. Holm, *Geometric Mechanics Part 1: Dynamics and Symmetry*, (Imperial College Press, 2008).

[22] E. T. Whitaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, (Merchant Books, 1915).

[23] A. Weil, *Elliptic Functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker*, (Springer-Verlag, 1976).

[24] M. Conforti, "Exact cascading nonlinearity in quasi-phase-matched quadratic media," Opt. Lett. **39**(8), 2427-2430, (2014).

[25] J. Zhang, X. Dai, L. Zhang, Y. Xiang and Y. Li, "Modulation instability in the oppositely directed coupler with a quadratic nonlinearity," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **32**(1), 1-8 (2015).

[26] A. Kobyakov, S. Darmanyan, T. Pertsch, F. Lederer, "Stable discrete domain walls and quasirectangular solitons in quadratically nonlinear waveguide arrays," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **16**(10), 1737-1742 (1999).

[27] F. Setzpfandt, D. N. Neshev, R. Schiek, F. Lederer, A. Tünnermann and T. Pertsch, "Competing nonlinearities in quadratic nonlinear waveguide arrays," Opt. Lett. **34**(22), 3589-3591, (2009).

[28] F. Setzpfandt, A. A. Sukhorukov and T. Pertsch, "Discrete quadratic solitons with competing second-harmonic components," Phys. Rev. A **84**(5), 053843(1-8) (2011).

[29] H. Li, J. Tiana, R. Yang, L. Song,"Self-similar soliton-like solution for coupled higher-order nonlinear Schrdinger equation with variable coefficients." Optik **126**(6), 1191-1195 (2015).

[30] A. K. Sarma and M. Saha,"Modulational instability of coupled nonlinear field equations for pulse propagation in a negative index material embedded into a Kerr medium" J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **28**(4) 944-988 (2011).

[31] H. Steffensen, J. R. Ott, K. Rottwitt, and C. J. McKinstrie, "Full and semi-analytic analyses of two-pump parametric amplification with pump depletion," Opt. Express 19, 6648-6656 (2011).

[32] M. E. Marhic, "Analytic solutions for the phases of waves coupled by degenerate or nondegenerate four-wave mixing," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **30**(1) 62-70 (2013).

[33] T. J. Alexander, E. A. Ostrovskaya, Y. S. Kivshar and P. S. Julienne, "Vortices in atomic-molecular BoseEinstein condensates," J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **4**(2), S33 (2002).

[34] A. V. Smith and M. S. Bowers, "Phase distortions in sum- and difference-frequency mixing in crystals," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **12**(1), 49-57, (1995).

[35] F. Träger (Ed.), *Handbook of Lasers and Optics: Nonlinear Optics*, (Springer, 2007).

[36] G. Cappellini and S. Trillo, "Third-order three-wave mixing in single-mode fibers: exact solutions and spatial instability effects," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **8**(4), 824-838 (1991).